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## Improving Performance with SKU Analysis

## Objectives

The objectives of conducting a SKU analysis are:

1. To identify the subset of SKU's that have the highest contribution to total sales. Sales of products are always unequal and some contribute more to total sales than others. By identifying the highest contributing SKU's, you can focus improvement efforts where they will have the largest impact.
2. To categorize the highest contributing SKU's for use in out of stock analysis and forecasting. As stated in other articles in this series, a key objective is to limit the amount of data that needs to be analyzed when you do item and store level planning. By identifying the highest contributing SKU's, you can limit the row counts to the number of total stores for each SKU identified. For example, if you sell one item at 1900 stores, but the item contributes a very small percentage to total sales, you can eliminate that SKU and the accompanying 1900 rows of data with minimal impact to effectiveness.

## Key Considerations

A SKU analysis is best done by comparing units sold because it factors out the variance between the prices of different items. Otherwise, if you were comparing two items which both sold 100 units, but had prices of $\$ 5$ and $\$ 15$, the gross dollars will provide a misleading conclusion that the $\$ 15$ item is a better seller when in fact they are selling at the same rate. However, if you are able to apply a gross margin, then dollars-based analysis can be very useful since analysis could be done on a percentage of gross profit.

Using units sold per store is useful because it eliminates the sales volume variance due to differing store counts. An alternative approach is to analyze one plan-o-gram set at a time for the stores that carry that plan-o-gram. This will hold the store count constant for analysis.

It is useful to calculate the percentage change in units sold for the comparable prior year period at a store level because it indicates if a SKU is growing or declining. When the SKU category is assigned at the end of this analysis, we will use a composite ranking of both units sold per store and growth to identify the best SKU's.
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## Step-By-Step Construction

## 1. Determine Units Sold and Store Count

To set-up your analysis, pull a table of data for your SKU's with units sold and store count for each of your SKU's. The store count can be based on:

- Stores which sold the SKU during the two periods,
- The plan-o-gram store count, or
- Stores that sold the item or had OH indicating they had the opportunity to sell the item

In most situations, the most common method for store count will be by plan-o-gram count or by considering both units sold and OH . Choose two comparable periods, either YTD with a corresponding period from the prior year or a full year-over-year comparable. To be most accurate, use a full year of history. This SKU analysis requires comparable year-over-year sales, so new SKU's introduced during the analysis period should be eliminated. Review the data table to see if any SKU's were selling in one period and not the other and delete those SKU's. See Figure 1.

## FIGURE 1

| SKU | 2008 UNITS <br> SOLD | 2009 UNITS <br> SOLD | 2008 STORE <br> COUNT | 2009 STORE <br> COUNT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 149446 | 350,404 | 526,197 | 3,613 | 1,926 |
| 156743 | 354,340 | 490,015 | 3,640 | 1,929 |
| 126500 | 498,781 | 562,918 | 3,111 | 1,962 |
| 154787 | 165,356 | 304,218 | 2,040 | 1,904 |
| 576727 | 193,729 | 249,882 | 3,097 | 1,954 |
| 338796 | 162,050 | 213,967 | 3,041 | 1,955 |
| 126195 | 117,776 | 185,001 | 3,091 | 1,883 |
| 126551 | 437,531 | 414,515 | 3,107 | 1,959 |
| 200212 | 689,444 | 669,596 | 2,831 | 1,964 |
| 126217 | 74,070 | 104,352 | 3,043 | 1,955 |

## 2. Calculate Units per Store (UPS)

Calculate the units per store (UPS) by dividing the total units sold by the store count. Do this for both periods. The calculated UPS is the most useful way to compare the performance of SKU's across two different periods of time because it eliminates store count as a variable. See Figure 2.
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FIGURE 2

|  | 2008 UNITS | 2009 UNITS | 2008 STORE | 2009 STORE | 2008 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SKU | SOLD | SOLD | COUNT | COUNT | UPS | UPS |
| 149446 | 350,404 | 526,197 | 3,613 | 1,926 | 97 | 273.2 |
| 156743 | 354,340 | 490,015 | 3,640 | 1,929 | 97 | 254.0 |
| 126500 | 498,781 | 562,918 | 3,111 | 1,962 | 160 | 286.9 |
| 154787 | 165,356 | 304,218 | 2,040 | 1,904 | 81 | 159.8 |
| 576727 | 193,729 | 249,882 | 3,097 | 1,954 | 63 | 127.9 |
| 338796 | 162,050 | 213,967 | 3,041 | 1,955 | 53 | 109.4 |
| 126195 | 117,776 | 185,001 | 3,091 | 1,883 | 38 | 98.2 |
| 126551 | 437,531 | 414,515 | 3,107 | 1,959 | 141 | 211.6 |
| 200212 | 689,444 | 669,596 | 2,831 | 1,964 | 244 | 340.9 |
| 126217 | 74,070 | 104,352 | 3,043 | 1,955 | 24 | 53.4 |

3. Calculate Percentage Change in Units

Calculate the percentage change in units sold per store using the formula:

## Current period - Prior period <br> Prior period

This calculated percentage change will indicate if the SKU is growing or declining and has factored out the store count for accurate comparison. See Figure 3.

FIGURE 3

| SKU | 2008 UNITS | 2009 UNITS | 2008 STORE COUNT | 2009 STORE COUNT | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { UPS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2009 \\ \text { UPS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Chg } \\ \text { UPS } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 149446 | 350,404 | 526,197 | 3,613 | 1,926 | 97 | 273.2 | 181.7\% |
| 156743 | 354,340 | 490,015 | 3,640 | 1,929 | 97 | 254.0 | 161.0\% |
| 126500 | 498,781 | 562,918 | 3,111 | 1,962 | 160 | 286.9 | 79.0\% |
| 154787 | 165,356 | 304,218 | 2,040 | 1,904 | 81 | 159.8 | 97.1\% |
| 576727 | 193,729 | 249,882 | 3,097 | 1,954 | 63 | 127.9 | 104.4\% |
| 338796 | 162,050 | 213,967 | 3,041 | 1,955 | 53 | 109.4 | 105.4\% |
| 126195 | 117,776 | 185,001 | 3,091 | 1,883 | 38 | 98.2 | 157.8\% |
| 126551 | 437,531 | 414,515 | 3,107 | 1,959 | 141 | 211.6 | 50.3\% |
| 200212 | 689,444 | 669,596 | 2,831 | 1,964 | 244 | 340.9 | 40.0\% |
| 126217 | 74,070 | 104,352 | 3,043 | 1,955 | 24 | 53.4 | 119.3\% |
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## 4. Calculate Percentage of Total Units Sold by SKU

For each SKU, calculate the percentage of total units sold that the SKU is contributing. This is done by dividing the SKU's units sold by the total units sold. This column can be used later when you identify the most useful SKU's to use for forecasting and out of stock analysis. In general, if a SKU is contributing less than half the median, it is not worth including the analysis. For example, if the median percentage to total is $1.5 \%$, then any SKU not adding at least $0.75 \%$ to the total can be excluded. See Figure 4.

FIGURE 4

| SKU | 2008 UNITS | $\begin{array}{r} 2009 \text { UNITS } \\ \text { SOLD } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2008 \text { STORE } \\ \text { COUNT } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2009 \text { STORE } \\ \text { COUNT } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2008 \\ \text { UPS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2009 \\ \text { UPS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Chg } \\ \text { UPS } \end{array}$ | \% TTL US |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 149446 | 350,404 | 526,197 | 3,613 | 1,926 | 97 | 273.2 | 181.7\% | 5.3\% |
| 156743 | 354,340 | 490,015 | 3,640 | 1,929 | 97 | 254.0 | 161.0\% | 5.0\% |
| 126500 | 498,781 | 562,918 | 3,111 | 1,962 | 160 | 286.9 | 79.0\% | 5.7\% |
| 154787 | 165,356 | 304,218 | 2,040 | 1,904 | 81 | 159.8 | 97.1\% | 3.1\% |
| 576727 | 193,729 | 249,882 | 3,097 | 1,954 | 63 | 127.9 | 104.4\% | 2.5\% |
| 338796 | 162,050 | 213,967 | 3,041 | 1,955 | 53 | 109.4 | 105.4\% | 2.2\% |
| 126195 | 117,776 | 185,001 | 3,091 | 1,883 | 38 | 98.2 | 157.8\% | 1.9\% |
| 126551 | 437,531 | 414,515 | 3,107 | 1,959 | 141 | 211.6 | 50.3\% | 4.2\% |
| 200212 | 689,444 | 669,596 | 2,831 | 1,964 | 244 | 340.9 | 40.0\% | 6.8\% |
| 126217 | 74,070 | 104,352 | 3,043 | 1,955 | 24 | 53.4 | 119.3\% | 1.1\% |

## 5. Calculate Cumulative Percentage to Total

The next column to be calculated is the cumulative percentage to total. This allows you to scan down the column to determine which SKU's comprise an important percentage of total sales. For example, in Figure 5, we can see the top 10 SKU's are contributing $37.7 \%$ of the total sales. See Figure 5.

## FIGURE 5

| SKU | $\begin{array}{r} 2008 \text { UNITS } \\ \text { SOLD } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2009 \text { UNITS } \\ \text { SOLD } \end{array}$ | 2008 STORE COUNT | 2009 STORE COUNT | $\begin{array}{r} 2008 \\ \text { UPS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2009 \\ \text { UPS } \end{array}$ | \% Chg <br> UPS | \% TTL US | CUM \% TTL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 149446 | 350,404 | 526,197 | 3,613 | 1,926 | 97 | 273.2 | 181.7\% | 5.3\% | 5.3\% |
| 156743 | 354,340 | 490,015 | 3,640 | 1,929 | 97 | 254.0 | 161.0\% | 5.0\% | 10.3\% |
| 126500 | 498,781 | 562,918 | 3,111 | 1,962 | 160 | 286.9 | 79.0\% | 5.7\% | 16.0\% |
| 154787 | 165,356 | 304,218 | 2,040 | 1,904 | 81 | 159.8 | 97.1\% | 3.1\% | 19.1\% |
| 576727 | 193,729 | 249,882 | 3,097 | 1,954 | 63 | 127.9 | 104.4\% | 2.5\% | 21.6\% |
| 338796 | 162,050 | 213,967 | 3,041 | 1,955 | 53 | 109.4 | 105.4\% | 2.2\% | 23.8\% |
| 126195 | 117,776 | 185,001 | 3,091 | 1,883 | 38 | 98.2 | 157.8\% | 1.9\% | 25.6\% |
| 126551 | 437,531 | 414,515 | 3,107 | 1,959 | 141 | 211.6 | 50.3\% | 4.2\% | 29.8\% |
| 200212 | 689,444 | 669,596 | 2,831 | 1,964 | 244 | 340.9 | 40.0\% | 6.8\% | 36.6\% |
| 126217 | 74,070 | 104,352 | 3,043 | 1,955 | 24 | 53.4 | 119.3\% | 1.1\% | 37.7\% |
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## 6. Rank Each SKU by Units Sold per Store

The next step is to rank each SKU by units sold per store. This can be done easily by sorting the SKU table by the units sold per store for the current period and then simply filling 1 to $\times$ down the new UPS rank column. See Figure 6.

## FIGURE 6

| SKU | 2008 UNITS <br> SOLD | 2009 UNITS <br> SOLD | 2008 STORE <br> COUNT | 2009 STORE <br> COUNT | 2008 <br> UPS | 2009 <br> UPS | \% Chg <br> UPS | \% TTL US | CUM \% TTL <br> US | UPS <br> Rank |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 149446 | 350,404 | 526,197 | 3,613 | 1,926 | 97 | 273.2 | $181.7 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | 6 |
| 156743 | 354,340 | 490,015 | 3,640 | 1,929 | 97 | 254.0 | $161.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | 8 |
| 126500 | 498,781 | 562,918 | 3,111 | 1,962 | 160 | 286.9 | $79.0 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | 5 |
| 154787 | 165,356 | 304,218 | 2,040 | 1,904 | 81 | 159.8 | $97.1 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | 12 |
| 576727 | 193,729 | 249,882 | 3,097 | 1,954 | 63 | 127.9 | $104.4 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ | 14 |
| 338796 | 162,050 | 213,967 | 3,041 | 1,955 | 53 | 109.4 | $105.4 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | 18 |
| 126195 | 117,776 | 185,001 | 3,091 | 1,883 | 38 | 98.2 | $157.8 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | 20 |
| 126551 | 437,531 | 414,515 | 3,107 | 1,959 | 141 | 211.6 | $50.3 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ | 10 |
| 200212 | 689,444 | 669,596 | 2,831 | 1,964 | 244 | 340.9 | $40.0 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | 3 |
| 126217 | 74,070 | 104,352 | 3,043 | 1,955 | 24 | 53.4 | $119.3 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $37.7 \%$ | 30 |

## 7. Calculate Units per Store Percentage Change Rank

Next calculate the units per store percentage change rank. This is done by sorting the data table by the percentage change in units per store column. Then simply fill the new rank column 1 to $x$ down. See Figure 7.

FIGURE 7

| SKU | 2008 UNITS <br> SOLD | 2009 UNITS <br> SOLD | 2008 STORE <br> COUNT | 2009 STORE <br> COUNT | 2008 <br> UPS | 2009 <br> UPS | \% Chg <br> UPS | \% TTL US | CUM \% TTL <br> US | UPS <br> Rank | UPS \% Chg <br> Rank |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 149446 | 350,404 | 526,197 | 3,613 | 1,926 | 97 | 273.2 | $181.7 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | 6 |  |
| 156743 | 354,340 | 490,015 | 3,640 | 1,929 | 97 | 254.0 | $161.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | 8 | 2 |
| 126500 | 498,781 | 562,918 | 3,111 | 1,962 | 160 | 286.9 | $79.0 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | 5 | 3 |
| 154787 | 165,356 | 304,218 | 2,040 | 1,904 | 81 | 159.8 | $97.1 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | 12 | 13 |
| 576727 | 193,729 | 249,882 | 3,097 | 1,954 | 63 | 127.9 | $104.4 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ | 14 | 9 |
| 338796 | 162,050 | 213,967 | 3,041 | 1,955 | 53 | 109.4 | $105.4 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | 18 | 7 |
| 126195 | 117,776 | 185,001 | 3,091 | 1,883 | 38 | 98.2 | $157.8 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | 20 | 6 |
| 126551 | 437,531 | 414,515 | 3,107 | 1,959 | 141 | 211.6 | $50.3 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ | 10 | 4 |
| 200212 | 689,444 | 669,596 | 2,831 | 1,964 | 244 | 340.9 | $40.0 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | 3 | 19 |
| 126217 | 74,070 | 104,352 | 3,043 | 1,955 | 24 | 53.4 | $119.3 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $37.7 \%$ | 30 | 30 |

## 8. Create Composite Rank

Because you want to rank SKU's by both units sold per store and growth, the next step is to create a composite rank using the UPS rank and UPS \% Chg rank columns. To do this, sum the two rank columns together. Then sort the table by the new composite rank ascending. The lowest number in the composite rank column will be 2 . Therefore, to create a clean ranking with values starting at 1 and increasing to your total SKU count, you will need to create a new column and fill from 1 to $x$ down that column. After this has been done, you can delete the calculated composite rank column.
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This method of composite ranking produces a more valid SKU by SKU comparison. In Figure 8a, for example, see how SKU 198373 is ranked number 1 in units per store, but 45 in units per store percentage change; SKU 149446 is ranked sixth in units per store and number 2 in units per store percentage change. See Figure $8 a$.

## FIGURE $8 a$

| SKU | $\begin{array}{r} 2008 \text { UNITS } \\ \text { SOLD } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2009 \text { UNITS } \\ \text { SOLD } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2008 STORE COUNT | 2009 STORE | 2008UPS | 2009UPS | \% Chg UPS | \%TTL US | CUM\%TTL US | UPS Rank | UPS\% Chg Rank | Composite Rank | SKU CATEGORY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 149446 | 350,404 | 526,197 | 3,613 | 1,926 | 97 | 273.2 | 181.7\% | 5.3\% | 5.3\% | 6 | 2 | 1 | A |
| 198373 | 982,038 | 857,715 | 2,838 | 1,966 | 346 | 436.3 | 26.1\% | 8.7\% | 14.0\% | 1 | 5 | 17 | A |

By using the composite ranking method, SKU 149446 is more correctly ranked above 198373 because it is a good performer in both units per store, and it is showing year- over-year growth in units per store. See Figure $8 b$.

FIGURE $8 b$

| SKU | $\begin{array}{r} 2008 \text { UNITS } \\ \text { SOLD } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2009 \text { UNITS } \\ \text { SOLD } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2008 \text { STORE } \\ \text { COUNT } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2009 \text { STORE } \\ \text { COUNT } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2008 \\ \text { UPS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2009 \\ \text { UPS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { \% Chg } \\ \text { UPS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \%TTL US | CUM \% TTL US | $\begin{array}{r} \text { UPS } \\ \text { Rank } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { UPS \% Chg } \\ \text { Rank } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Composite Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 149446 | 350,404 | 526,197 | 3,613 | 1,926 | 97 | 273.2 | 181.7\% | 5.3\% | 5.3\% | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| 156743 | 354,340 | 490,015 | 3,640 | 1,929 | 97 | 254.0 | 161.0\% | 5.0\% | 10.3\% | 8 | 3 | 2 |
| 126500 | 498,781 | 562,918 | 3,111 | 1,962 | 160 | 286.9 | 79.0\% | 5.7\% | 16.0\% | 5 | 13 | 3 |
| 154787 | 165,356 | 304,218 | 2,040 | 1,904 | 81 | 159.8 | 97.1\% | 3.1\% | 19.1\% | 12 | 9 | 4 |
| 576727 | 193,729 | 249,882 | 3,097 | 1,954 | 63 | 127.9 | 104.4\% | 2.5\% | 21.6\% | 14 | 7 | 5 |
| 338796 | 162,050 | 213,967 | 3,041 | 1,955 | 53 | 109.4 | 105.4\% | 2.2\% | 23.8\% | 18 | 6 | 6 |
| 126195 | 117,776 | 185,001 | 3,091 | 1,883 | 38 | 98.2 | 157.8\% | 1.9\% | 25.6\% | 20 | 4 | 7 |
| 126551 | 437,531 | 414,515 | 3,107 | 1,959 | 141 | 211.6 | 50.3\% | 4.2\% | 29.8\% | 10 | 19 | 8 |
| 200212 | 689,444 | 669,596 | 2,831 | 1,964 | 244 | 340.9 | 40.0\% | 6.8\% | 36.6\% | 3 | 30 | 9 |
| 126217 | 74,070 | 104,352 | 3,043 | 1,955 | 24 | 53.4 | 119.3\% | 1.1\% | 37.7\% | 30 | 5 | 10 |

## 9. Categorize Each SKU

The end result of the analysis is to categorize each SKU in an $A, B, C$, or $D$ category. This is most easily done by dividing the total number of SKU's in your data table by four. For example, if you have 100 SKU's, the first 25 will be A SKU's and the next 25 will be B SKU's. See Figure 9.

## FIGURE 9

| SKU | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 \text { UNITS } \\ & \text { SOLD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { UNITS } \\ & \text { SOLD } \end{aligned}$ | 2008 STORE | $\begin{array}{r} 2009 \text { STORE } \\ \text { COUNT } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2008 \\ \text { UPS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2009 \\ \text { UPS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% Chg } \\ \text { UPS } \end{gathered}$ | \%TTL US | CUM \% TTL | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { UPS } \\ \text { Rank } \end{gathered}$ | UPS \% Chg Rank | Composite Rank | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SKU } \\ \text { CATEGORY } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 149446 | 350,404 | 526,197 | 3,613 | 1,926 | 97 | 273.2 | 181.7\% | 5.3\% | 5.3\% | 6 | 2 | 1 | A |
| 156743 | 354,340 | 490,015 | 3,640 | 1,929 | 97 | 254.0 | 161.0\% | 5.0\% | 10.3\% | 8 | 3 | 2 | A |
| 126500 | 498,781 | 562,918 | 3,111 | 1,962 | 160 | 286.9 | 79.0\% | 5.7\% | 16.0\% | 5 | 13 | 3 | A |
| 154787 | 165,356 | 304,218 | 2,040 | 1,904 | 81 | 159.8 | 97.1\% | 3.1\% | 19.1\% | 12 | 9 | 4 | A |
| 576727 | 193,729 | 249,882 | 3,097 | 1,954 | 63 | 127.9 | 104.4\% | 2.5\% | 21.6\% | 14 | 7 | 5 | A |
| 338796 | 162,050 | 213,967 | 3,041 | 1,955 | 53 | 109.4 | 105.4\% | 2.2\% | 23.8\% | 18 | 6 | 6 | A |
| 126195 | 117,776 | 185,001 | 3,091 | 1,883 | 38 | 98.2 | 157.8\% | 1.9\% | 25.6\% | 20 | 4 | 7 | A |
| 126551 | 437,531 | 414,515 | 3,107 | 1,959 | 141 | 211.6 | 50.3\% | 4.2\% | 29.8\% | 10 | 19 | 8 | A |
| 200212 | 689,444 | 669,596 | 2,831 | 1,964 | 244 | 340.9 | 40.0\% | 6.8\% | 36.6\% | 3 | 30 | 9 | A |
| 126217 | 74,070 | 104,352 | 3,043 | 1,955 | 24 | 53.4 | 119.3\% | 1.1\% | 37.7\% | 30 | 5 | 10 | A |
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Pareto's Principle: An Italian economist, Vilfredo Pareto created a mathematical formula that described the unequal distribution of wealth that he observed and measured in his country. Pareto observed that roughly $20 \%$ of the people controlled or owned $80 \%$ of the wealth. In the late 1940's, Dr. Joseph Juran, a quality management expert, attributed the 80/20 rule to Pareto calling it Pareto's Principle. Dr. Juran described how $20 \%$ of a set is generally responsible for $80 \%$ of the results, and described his observation as the "vital few and trivial many."

## 10. Create Executive Summary

A very good way to summarize the SKU performance for management and retail buyers can be seen in Figure 10. The top two tables provide the top and bottom SKU's based on the composite SKU ranking. These tables are easily added by taking the top 10 SKU's in your sorted data table and the bottom 10 SKU's in your data table.

Next summarize the percentage of sales each SKU category contributes to total sales by summing the sales for each category and then calculating the percentage of total SKU's. This provides an effective visual way to apply Pareto's Principle of 80/20 and find the most important set of SKU's.

Because average units per store is also a key metric, we added a graph which charts the average units sold per store for each SKU category.

From your analysis, you can clearly see that about half of the SKU's contribute more than $80 \%$ of total sales. You now know that if you limit future SKU forecasting and detailed analysis to A and B SKU's, you will be analyzing the items responsible for more than $80 \%$ of total sales while reducing the number of SKU's to be analyzed by $56 \%$. This method focuses the analysis on the most critical set of SKU's and greatly reduces the volume of data to be analyzed. See Figure 10.

FIGURE 10
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## Next Steps

This analysis is one in a series of articles that provides step-by-step guidelines to creating reports and analyses that can help you better understand and improve SKU and store performance. The series includes the following articles:

- Store Analysis
- SKU Analysis
- Out of Stock Analysis
- SKU Forecast

To download additional articles in this series, visit www.acceleratedanalytics.com.
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